RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Appln. No. 10/052,911

Attorney Docket No.: Q68203

The Examiner has indicated receipt of the certified copy of the Priority Document.

Summary of the Office Action

The Examiner withdrew the previous rejections. The Examiner, however, found new

grounds for rejecting the claims. Claims 1-16 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a).

Prior Art Rejections

Claims 1-7 and 9-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over

U.S. Patent No. 6,317,156 to Nagasaki (hereinafter "Nagasaki") in view of JP 2001-133874 to

Kindaichi et al. (hereinafter "Kindaichi") and claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as

being unpatentable over Nagasaki in view of Kindaichi, and further in view of U.S. Patent No.

6,201,571 to Ota (hereinafter "Ota"). Applicant respectfully traverses these rejection by

removing Kindaichi as a prior art reference.

That is, submitted herewith is a verified English-language translation of the Japanese

priority document (JP 2001-016918). Each of the claims 1-16 is respectfully submitted to be

fully supported by the Japanese priority document. The filing of the English-language

translation perfects Applicant's claim to benefit from the foreign priority date of January 25,

2001 with respect to all of Applicant's claims.

In view thereof, and since the earliest effective date of the Kindiachi patent as a reference

is its publication date of May 18, 2001 (which is later than January 25, 2001), Kindaichi is

removed as a reference. These rejections of claims 1-16 are based on Kindaichi. Since

Kindaichi is not a prior art reference, these rejections are thus literally moot. Accordingly, it is

appropriate and necessary for the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

2

RESPONSE UNDER 37 C.F.R. § 1.111

U.S. Appln. No. 10/052,911

Attorney Docket No.: Q68203

Conclusion

In view of the above, reconsideration and allowance of this application are now believed

to be in order, and such actions are hereby solicited. If any points remain in issue which the

Examiner feels may be best resolved through a personal or telephone interview, the Examiner is

kindly invited to contact the undersigned attorney at the telephone number listed below.

The USPTO is directed and authorized to charge all required fees, except for the Issue

Fee and the Publication Fee, to Deposit Account No. 19-4880. Please also credit any

overpayments to said Deposit Account.

Respectfully submitted,

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE 23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: October 20, 2005

Nataliya Dvorson/ Registration No. 56,616